ISSN 2837-7761

American Journal of Neurology Research

Review Article

A Comprehensive Review of Neuroplasticity Following CNS Stroke in Pediatric Populations

Ashley S Membreno Lopez[1], Stephanie Johnson, Ph.D[1,5], Noa Wax, Ph.D[1], Amber Kalu[1], Camela S Barker Ph.D[1], Cara Green[1], Megan Anderson[1], Kyla Whitten[1], Shiv Sudhakar, MD[2], Noah Peltier[1], Mary Wood[3], Elaine Whitworth[3], Jasmine Benner, MPH[1,4], Wandy Cubilete[3], Jim Harper Ph.D.[1], Patrick Logue, Ph.D[3] and Christopher L Edwards, Ph.D[1]*

[1]*Kylyn Love/ Edwards' Psychoneuroendocrine and Rare Disease Laboratory, North Carolina Central University, North Carolina, USA.*

[2]*California North state University, USA.*

[3]*Duke University Medical Center, North Carolina, USA.*

[4]*North Carolina Central University Biobehavioral Research Institute, North Carolina, USA.*

[5]*Cognitive Solutions, LLC, USA.*

Corresponding Author Information

Christopher L. Edwards

Kylyn Love/ Edwards' Psychoneuroendocrine and Rare Diseases Laboratory, North Carolina Central University, 1801 Fayetteville St., TEB315, Durham, North Carolina, USA, Tel: 919-530-7465.

Received: April 11 2026; **Accepted:** Aprilh 29, 2026; **Published:** May 07 2026

Citation: Ashley S Membreno Lopez, Stephanie Johnson, Noa Wax, Amber Kalu, Camela S Barker, et al. A Comprehensive Review of Neuroplasticity Following CNS Stroke in Pediatric Populations. American J Neurol Res. 2026; 5(2):1-7.

ABSTRACT

Pediatric stroke, encompassing both cerebral and spinal cord infarctions, represents a unique neurological condition affecting the developing nervous system with profound implications for neuroplasticity and functional recovery. Unlike adult stroke, pediatric stroke occurs within the dynamic environment of neural maturation, creating distinct pathophysiological cascades and recovery patterns. This comprehensive review examines the molecular mechanisms underlying neuroplasticity following pediatric central nervous system stroke, with particular emphasis on the differential responses observed in brain versus spinal cord injuries. We analyze the complex interplay of genetic polymorphisms, epigenetic modifications, and social determinants that influence stroke susceptibility and recovery trajectories in pediatric populations. The review synthesizes current evidence regarding the temporal dynamics of neuroplastic reorganization, highlighting critical periods for intervention and the role of demographic factors including age, race, gender, and socioeconomic status in determining outcomes. We explore the cellular and molecular processes of sensorimotor reorganization, synaptic remodeling, and functional restoration, while examining evidence-based therapeutic approaches that harness neuroplasticity for optimal recovery. The rapid functional improvement observed in the 8-year-old patient with spinal stroke described herein exemplifies the remarkable capacity for pediatric neuroplastic adaptation, underscoring the urgent need for age-specific rehabilitation protocols that capitalize on the enhanced plasticity window inherent to the developing nervous system.

KEYWORDS

Pediatric stroke, Neuroplasticity, Spinal cord stroke, Brain stroke, Recovery mechanisms, Epigenetics, Rehabilitation, Critical periods.

Introduction

Pediatric stroke constitutes a devastating yet remarkably dynamic insult to the developing central nervous system, affecting approximately one in 4,000 newborns and 2,000 older children annually in the United States. The immature brain and spinal cord present a unique substrate for both injury and recovery, characterized by ongoing myelination, synaptic pruning, and circuit refinement that fundamentally distinguishes pediatric stroke from its adult counterpart [1]. The case of the 8-year-old African American female who experienced rapid functional recovery within three days following spinal stroke secondary to disk herniation exemplifies the extraordinary potential for neuroplastic adaptation in the pediatric population. The developing nervous system exhibits heightened neuroplasticity, defined as the capacity for structural and functional reorganization in response to environmental demands or injury [2-4]. This enhanced plasticity emerges from the convergence of multiple factors including elevated expression of growth-promoting molecules, reduced inhibitory signaling, ongoing neurogenesis, and the inherent developmental programs that continue throughout childhood and adolescence. Understanding the mechanisms that govern neuroplastic recovery in pediatric stroke populations requires a comprehensive examination of the molecular, cellular, and systems-level processes that orchestrate functional restoration [2,3,5-8].

Central nervous system stroke in pediatric populations encompasses both cerebral and spinal cord infarctions, each presenting distinct pathophysiological profiles and recovery trajectories. While cerebral strokes typically result from cardioembolic, arteriopathic, or idiopathic mechanisms, spinal cord strokes often arise from vascular malformations, trauma, or as demonstrated in our illustrative case, compression from herniated intervertebral disks [1,9,10]. The differential vulnerability of brain versus spinal cord tissue to ischemic injury, combined with the unique regenerative capacity of each region, necessitates a nuanced understanding of site-specific recovery mechanisms [9,10].

Cerebral Stroke Pathophysiology

Pediatric cerebral stroke initiates a complex cascade of molecular events that differs substantially from adult stroke pathophysiology. The immature brain demonstrates unique vulnerability patterns, with white matter showing particular susceptibility due to ongoing myelination and the presence of pre-oligodendrocytes that are exquisitely sensitive to hypoxic-ischemic injury. The developing blood-brain barrier exhibits differential permeability characteristics, potentially influencing the inflammatory response and the penetration of therapeutic agents. The acute phase of pediatric cerebral stroke involves rapid depletion of adenosine triphosphate leading to membrane depolarization, calcium influx, and glutamate excitotoxicity. However, the developing brain shows enhanced tolerance to ischemic conditions compared to mature neural tissue, possibly due to higher baseline expression of neuroprotective factors including brain-derived neurotrophic factor and insulin-like growth factor-1 [1,11-13]. The inflammatory response in pediatric stroke demonstrates age-dependent characteristics, with younger patients showing more robust microglial activation but potentially less harmful inflammatory cytokine release [7,9,10].

Spinal Cord Stroke Pathophysiology

Spinal cord stroke in pediatric populations, as exemplified by the case presented, involves unique pathophysiological considerations related to the spinal cord's distinct vascular anatomy and cellular composition. The anterior spinal artery syndrome, most commonly resulting from compression or direct vascular injury, produces characteristic patterns of motor and sensory dysfunction that reflect the topographical organization of spinal cord tracts. The developing spinal cord demonstrates remarkable capacity for functional reorganization following injury, mediated by several factors including the continued presence of guidance cues that normally direct axonal growth during development, enhanced expression of growth-associated proteins, and the relatively limited extent of myelination which reduces the inhibitory environment created by mature oligodendrocytes. The rapid recovery observed in pediatric spinal stroke cases often reflects the activation of dormant or alternate neural pathways, collateral sprouting from intact axons, and the remarkable capacity for cross-education of motor programs between hemispheres [1,3-5].

Genetic Polymorphisms Influencing Neuroplasticity

Genetic variation plays a crucial role in determining both stroke susceptibility and recovery potential in pediatric populations. Key polymorphisms affecting neuroplasticity include variations in the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene, particularly the Val66Met polymorphism, which influences BDNF secretion and has been associated with differential responses to rehabilitation interventions [1,2,11]. Children carrying the Met allele demonstrate altered patterns of motor learning and may require modified therapeutic approaches to optimize neuroplastic adaptation [3,4,7].

Dopaminergic system polymorphisms, including variations in the dopamine receptor D2 gene and the dopamine transporter gene, significantly influence motor learning capacity and rehabilitation outcomes. These genetic variants affect synaptic plasticity mechanisms and may partially explain the individual variability observed in pediatric stroke recovery patterns [2,3]. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms, while more extensively studied in adult populations, also demonstrate relevance in pediatric stroke, influencing both white matter integrity and recovery trajectories [1,4,5,11].

The catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met polymorphism affects dopamine availability in the prefrontal cortex and has been associated with differential executive function recovery following pediatric stroke [1]. Understanding these genetic influences enables the development of personalized rehabilitation protocols that account for individual neurobiological characteristics [4,11].

Epigenetic Mechanisms in Pediatric Stroke Recovery

Epigenetic modifications emerge as central regulators of neuroplastic adaptation following pediatric stroke, orchestrating the temporal and spatial patterns of gene expression that determine recovery outcomes. DNA methylation patterns undergo dynamic changes following stroke, with global hypermethylation initially observed in the acute phase, followed by selective demethylation of genes promoting neuroplasticity and recovery [1,3,6,8,12-15].

The growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible protein 45 family, particularly Gadd45b, mediates activity-dependent demethylation

of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and fibroblast growth factor-1 promoters, facilitating neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity [1,11,13,14]. This mechanism appears particularly robust in the developing nervous system, potentially explaining the enhanced recovery capacity observed in pediatric stroke populations.

Histone modifications, including histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation and histone acetylation, regulate the expression of genes involved in axonal growth, dendritic branching, and synaptic formation. The polycomb group proteins, including Bmi-1, demonstrate age-dependent expression patterns that may contribute to the differential neuroplastic capacity between pediatric and adult populations [1,11,13,14,16].

MicroRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression represents another crucial epigenetic mechanism influencing stroke recovery. Several microRNAs, including miR-132, miR-134, and miR-124, regulate synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis following stroke [1,5,11,13,17]. The expression profiles of these regulatory molecules differ between pediatric and adult populations, potentially contributing to enhanced recovery in younger patients.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities

Significant racial and ethnic disparities exist in pediatric stroke incidence, presentation, and outcomes. African American children demonstrate approximately twice the risk of stroke compared to Caucasian children, with this disparity particularly pronounced in the younger age groups. These differences reflect complex interactions between genetic susceptibility, social determinants of health, and healthcare access patterns. The role of genetic factors in racial disparities includes elevated levels of lipoprotein (a) in African American populations, which serves as a race-specific risk factor for stroke. Inflammatory markers, particularly interleukin-6, demonstrate higher baseline levels in African American children and may mediate some of the observed disparities in stroke risk and outcomes [1,11,18].

Social determinants including socioeconomic status, educational attainment, neighborhood characteristics, and healthcare access significantly influence both stroke risk and recovery outcomes. Children from lower socioeconomic backgrounds demonstrate delayed presentation to medical care, reduced access to rehabilitation services, and poorer long-term functional outcomes [6,19]. These disparities underscore the importance of addressing social determinants as part of comprehensive stroke care [18,20].

Gender Differences in Pediatric Stroke

Gender differences in pediatric stroke incidence show male predominance, particularly in the neonatal period, possibly related to increased vulnerability to perinatal complications. However, recovery patterns demonstrate complex gender-related differences that may reflect hormonal influences on neuroplasticity, differential expression of neuroprotective factors, and varying responses to rehabilitation interventions. Estrogen and testosterone exhibit neuroprotective effects through multiple mechanisms including antioxidant activity, modulation of inflammatory responses, and enhancement of neurotrophic factor expression. The timing of puberty and associated hormonal changes may influence recovery trajectories, though this relationship requires further investigation in pediatric populations [21].

Age-Related Factors

The relationship between age at stroke onset and recovery potential follows complex patterns that challenge simple assumptions about enhanced plasticity in younger patients. While very young children demonstrate remarkable capacity for functional compensation, they may also show greater vulnerability to cognitive and behavioral sequelae due to disruption of ongoing developmental processes. The concept of the "Kennard principle", suggesting better recovery in younger patients, requires nuanced interpretation in the context of modern neuroscience understanding. While younger children do demonstrate enhanced capacity for structural reorganization, they may also experience more subtle deficits that become apparent only as developmental demands increase. Critical periods of brain development, including periods of rapid myelination and synaptic pruning, create windows of both vulnerability and opportunity. Stroke occurring during these critical periods may disrupt normal developmental trajectories but may also enable more extensive reorganization than would be possible in mature neural tissue [6,12,14-16].

Mechanisms of Neuroplastic Reorganization

Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms

The neuroplastic response to pediatric stroke involves coordinated activation of multiple cellular and molecular mechanisms that collectively orchestrate functional recovery [2]. These mechanisms operate across different temporal scales, from immediate post-injury responses to long-term structural reorganization that may continue for months to years following the initial insult. Neurogenesis represents a fundamental mechanism of neuroplastic recovery, with stroke triggering robust proliferation of neural stem cells in the subventricular zone and dentate gyrus. The developing brain demonstrates enhanced neurogenic capacity compared to adult tissue, with newly generated neurons showing preferential migration toward sites of injury [2,3,7,12,14]. These newly born neurons integrate into existing circuits and contribute to functional recovery through both direct replacement of lost neurons and modulation of surviving neural networks [6,9,15,22].

Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling constitute critical components of the recovery process, with stroke-induced hypoxia triggering expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and other angiogenic signals. The developing vasculature demonstrates enhanced responsiveness to these signals, facilitating rapid restoration of blood flow to peri-infarct regions. The coupling between angiogenesis and neurogenesis creates microenvironments conducive to neural repair and regeneration [3,6,10,14,15,17,22-24].

Synaptic plasticity mechanisms, including long-term potentiation and long-term depression, undergo modification following stroke to facilitate functional reorganization. The developing nervous system exhibits enhanced capacity for synaptic remodeling due to ongoing developmental plasticity mechanisms that can be co-opted for recovery purposes. Activity-dependent synaptic strengthening enables the formation of new functional circuits that can compensate for stroke-induced deficits [1-3,6,8,13,15].

Axonal Growth and Regeneration

Axonal sprouting and regeneration represent crucial mechanisms

of structural reorganization following pediatric stroke. The developing nervous system maintains elevated expression of growth-associated proteins including GAP-43, which facilitates axonal elongation and pathfinding. The relatively immature state of myelin in pediatric patients reduces the inhibitory environment created by myelin-associated inhibitors including Nogo-A, myelin-associated glycoprotein, and oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein. Collateral sprouting from intact axons enables the formation of new connections that can partially restore function to denervated target regions [1]. This process appears particularly robust in the developing nervous system, where guidance cues and trophic factors that normally direct developmental axon growth remain available to support regenerative sprouting. The extracellular matrix undergoes dynamic remodeling following stroke, with changes in the composition and organization of matrix molecules influencing axonal growth permissiveness. Matrix metalloproteinases degrade inhibitory matrix components while facilitating the deposition of growth-promoting molecules including laminin and fibronectin [11,13,17,22].

Remapping of Sensorimotor Functions

Functional reorganization of sensorimotor cortex represents a hallmark of recovery following pediatric stroke, with extensive remapping of motor and sensory representations occurring in both ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres. The immature nervous system demonstrates enhanced capacity for interhemispheric reorganization, with the unaffected hemisphere assuming control over functions previously mediated by damaged regions [2,6,11,13,17]. Cortical map plasticity involves both expansion of existing representations and the formation of entirely new functional territories. Motor cortex demonstrates particularly robust reorganization, with surviving motor neurons developing expanded receptive fields and new patterns of connectivity. This reorganization enables the recovery of fine motor control and the development of compensatory movement strategies. Subcortical structures including the thalamus, basal ganglia, and brainstem nuclei also undergo extensive reorganization following stroke. These structures play crucial roles in motor control and learning, and their plastic adaptation contributes significantly to functional recovery [2,3,6,7,10,13,15,17]. The developing subcortical structures demonstrate enhanced capacity for reorganization compared to adult tissue.

Temporal Dynamics of Recovery

The temporal profile of recovery following pediatric stroke demonstrates distinct phases characterized by different neurobiological processes and therapeutic opportunities. The acute phase, extending from hours to days post-stroke, involves immediate neuroprotective responses and the initiation of inflammatory cascades. During this phase, the primary focus involves minimizing secondary injury and creating optimal conditions for subsequent recovery processes. Recent clinical evidence suggests the existence of a critical window for intensive rehabilitation extending from 60 to 90 days post-stroke, during which therapeutic interventions demonstrate maximal efficacy. This window corresponds to a period of heightened neuroplasticity characterized by enhanced growth factor expression, reduced inhibitory signaling, and optimal conditions for structural reorganization. The Critical Period After Stroke Study demonstrated that intensive motor training provided during this window produced superior functional outcomes compared to earlier or later interventions. Contrary to traditional assumptions, emerging evidence suggests that neuroplastic capacity extends well beyond the acute recovery period. A recent analysis of 219 patients with upper-limb hemiparesis revealed a gradient of enhanced sensitivity to treatment that extended beyond 12 months post-stroke, challenging conventional rehabilitation timelines and suggesting the need for revised clinical guidelines [3,5,6,8,12,15,19].

Age-Specific Recovery Patterns

Pediatric stroke recovery demonstrates age-dependent patterns that reflect the interaction between injury-induced plasticity and ongoing developmental processes. Very young children, particularly those experiencing perinatal stroke, may show minimal immediate deficits but develop asymmetries and functional limitations as developmental demands increase. Children experiencing stroke during critical periods of motor development may show different recovery trajectories compared to those injured during periods of relative developmental stability [3]. The timing of stroke relative to major developmental milestones influences both the immediate presentation and long-term functional outcomes. Adolescent patients demonstrate recovery patterns that combine elements of both pediatric and adult stroke recovery, reflecting the transitional nature of the adolescent brain [8,9,12,16]. The ongoing process of synaptic pruning and myelination during adolescence creates both opportunities and constraints for post-stroke reorganization.

Factors Influencing Recovery Timelines

Multiple factors influence the timeline and extent of recovery following pediatric stroke, including lesion location, size, and etiology. Cortical strokes typically demonstrate different recovery patterns compared to subcortical or brainstem lesions, reflecting the distinct functional organization and plastic capacity of different brain regions. The presence of comorbid conditions including epilepsy, genetic disorders, or systemic illnesses significantly influences recovery trajectories. Children with underlying neurodevelopmental conditions may show altered patterns of post-stroke plasticity that require specialized therapeutic approaches [5,7]. Environmental factors including access to rehabilitation services, family support, and educational accommodations play crucial roles in determining functional outcomes [3,6,8,12,15,19]. The developing nervous system demonstrates enhanced sensitivity to environmental enrichment, making these factors particularly important in pediatric populations.

Evidence-Based Rehabilitation Strategies

Contemporary pediatric stroke rehabilitation emphasizes neuroplasticity-based interventions that harness the enhanced recovery capacity of the developing nervous system. Constraint-induced movement therapy, adapted for pediatric populations, demonstrates efficacy in promoting motor recovery through forced use of affected limbs and prevention of learned non-use patterns. Task-specific training protocols, incorporating principles of motor learning and neuroplasticity, enable the development of functional skills while promoting structural reorganization [8,19]. These interventions emphasize repetitive practice of meaningful activities that engage multiple sensorimotor systems and promote the formation of new neural pathways. Virtual reality-based rehabilitation systems offer novel approaches for engaging young patients while providing intensive, task-specific training. These

systems enable precise control over training parameters while maintaining patient motivation through gamification elements. Evidence suggests that virtual reality training can promote motor recovery through enhanced recruitment of ipsilesional sensorimotor cortex [2,3,5,7,10,13-15,24].

Neuromodulation Approaches

Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques, including transcranial direct current stimulation and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, represent emerging therapeutic modalities for pediatric stroke rehabilitation. These techniques can modulate cortical excitability and promote beneficial plasticity when combined with conventional rehabilitation approaches [5,3,8,19]. The developing brain demonstrates enhanced sensitivity to neuromodulation interventions, requiring careful consideration of stimulation parameters and safety protocols. Age-appropriate protocols must account for differences in skull thickness, brain anatomy, and neural excitability between pediatric and adult populations. Brain-computer interface technologies offer promising approaches for facilitating neuroplastic reorganization through direct modulation of neural activity patterns [3,5-7,12,13,24]. These systems can provide real-time feedback regarding cortical activity and enable patients to practice motor imagery and execution in controlled environments.

Pharmacological Interventions

Pharmacological enhancement of neuroplasticity represents a complementary approach to behavioral rehabilitation interventions. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors demonstrate potential for promoting motor recovery through enhancement of neurotrophic factor expression and facilitation of synaptic plasticity. Neuropeptides including brain-derived neurotrophic factor and insulin-like growth factor-1 show promise for enhancing recovery when administered during critical periods following stroke. However, the optimal timing, dosing, and delivery methods for these interventions remain subjects of ongoing investigation. Anti-inflammatory medications may play important roles in modulating the post-stroke inflammatory response to create more favorable conditions for neuroplastic reorganization. The timing and selection of these interventions must account for the potentially beneficial aspects of inflammatory responses in promoting recovery [2,3,11,25,26].

Family-Centered and Educational Interventions

Pediatric stroke rehabilitation requires comprehensive family-centered approaches that address the unique needs of developing children within their social and educational contexts. Family education regarding neuroplasticity principles enables caregivers to support recovery through home-based activities and environmental modifications. Educational accommodations and support services play crucial roles in facilitating cognitive and academic recovery following pediatric stroke. The developing brain's capacity for functional reorganization enables many children to achieve academic success with appropriate supports and modifications. Peer support programs and age-appropriate educational materials help children and families understand stroke recovery while maintaining optimism regarding long-term outcomes. The enhanced neuroplastic capacity of the developing nervous system provides reason for cautious optimism while acknowledging the potential for ongoing challenges [12].

Future Directions

The field of pediatric stroke neuroplasticity stands at the threshold of significant advances driven by technological innovations and deeper understanding of developmental neurobiology. Advanced neuroimaging techniques, including diffusion tensor imaging and functional connectivity mapping, enable real-time visualization of structural and functional reorganization following stroke. Precision medicine approaches incorporating genetic and epigenetic profiling promise to enable individualized rehabilitation protocols tailored to each patient's neurobiological characteristics. Understanding the genetic factors that influence neuroplasticity will enable prediction of recovery trajectories and optimization of therapeutic interventions. Stem cell-based therapies represent promising future directions for enhancing neuroplastic recovery, with particular potential in pediatric populations where the developing nervous system may provide more favorable environments for cell integration and function [5,6,8,17]. However, significant research remains necessary to establish safety and efficacy profiles for these interventions. The development of biomarkers for neuroplastic capacity and recovery potential will enable more precise prognostication and treatment planning [3]. These biomarkers may include neuroimaging measures, electrophysiological parameters, and molecular indicators of neural health and plasticity [23,24].

Conclusion

Pediatric stroke represents a unique intersection of developmental neurobiology and neuroplasticity that creates both challenges and extraordinary opportunities for functional recovery. The case of rapid recovery following spinal stroke in an 8-year-old child exemplifies the remarkable capacity for neuroplastic adaptation inherent to the developing nervous system. Understanding the complex interplay of genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors that influence recovery enables the development of evidence-based interventions that harness the enhanced plasticity of the pediatric brain and spinal cord. The temporal dynamics of recovery reveal critical windows for intervention that extend beyond traditional acute care periods, with evidence supporting intensive rehabilitation during the 60-90 day post-stroke period while recognizing that neuroplastic capacity persists for months to years following injury. Demographic factors including age, race, gender, and socioeconomic status significantly influence both stroke risk and recovery trajectories, necessitating comprehensive approaches that address social determinants of health alongside neurobiological factors [12,18,21]. Contemporary rehabilitation strategies emphasizing neuroplasticity-based interventions, neuromodulation techniques, and family-centered care demonstrate promise for optimizing functional outcomes. The integration of technological innovations including virtual reality systems, brain-computer interfaces, and advanced neuroimaging enables more precise and effective therapeutic interventions [3,17,22].

Future advances in understanding pediatric stroke neuroplasticity will likely emerge from interdisciplinary collaboration incorporating developmental neurobiology, genetics, engineering, and rehabilitation sciences [2,5,22]. The ultimate goal remains the translation of scientific understanding into clinical practice that maximizes the extraordinary recovery potential inherent to the developing nervous system, offering hope for improved outcomes

for children affected by stroke [3,6,12]. The remarkable recovery demonstrated by children like the 8-year-old patient described herein reminds us that the developing nervous system possesses extraordinary capacity for adaptation and healing. By continuing to advance our understanding of the mechanisms underlying this plasticity, we can work toward ensuring that all children affected by stroke have the opportunity to achieve their maximum potential for recovery and long-term success.

Statements and Declarations

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding Statement: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical Statement: Not applicable. This article does not contain any human or animal participants and informed consent is not required. Ethical considerations are not required.

Consent to Participate: Not applicable. This article does not contain any human participants or their data.

Consent for Publication: Not applicable. This article does not contain any identifiable information.
Informed consent is not required

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Use of Artificial Intelligence Declaration: No generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the preparation, writing, or editing of this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

"We dedicate this research to Catherine, Dustin, and Peyton for inspiring what we all do."

References

1. Feng J, Fouse S, Fan G. Epigenetic regulation of neural gene expression and neuronal function. Pediatr Res. 2007; 61: 58-63.
2. Aderinto N, AbdulBasit MO, Olatunji G, Adejumo T. Exploring the transformative influence of neuroplasticity on stroke rehabilitation: a narrative review of current evidence. Ann Med Surg. 2023; 85: 4425-4432.
3. Dimyan MA, Cohen LG. Neuroplasticity in the context of motor rehabilitation after stroke. Nat Rev Neurol. 2011; 7: 76-85.
4. Mang CS, Campbell KL, Ross CJ, Boyd LA. Promoting neuroplasticity for motor rehabilitation after stroke: considering the effects of aerobic exercise and genetic variation on brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Phys Ther. 2013; 93: 1707-1716.
5. Braun RG, Wittenberg GF. Motor recovery: how rehabilitation techniques and technologies can enhance recovery and neuroplasticity. Semin Neurol. 2021; 41: 167-176.
6. Dromerick AW, Geed S, Barth J, Brady K, Giannetti ML, et al. Critical Period After Stroke Study (CPASS): a phase II clinical trial testing an optimal time for motor recovery after stroke in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2021; 118: 2026676118.
7. Henderson LA, Gustin SM, Macey PM, Wrigley PJ, Siddall PJ. Functional reorganization of the brain in humans following spinal cord injury: evidence for underlying changes in cortical anatomy. J Neurosci. 2011; 31: 2630-2637.
8. Small SL, Buccino G, Solodkin A. Brain repair after stroke-a novel neurological model. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013; 9: 698-707.
9. Mallaret-Simon A, Marquez-Ortiz RA, Hernandez DR, Akhter B, Aich N, et al. Neuroimmune support of neuronal regeneration and neuroplasticity following cerebral ischemia in juvenile mice. Brain Sci. 2023; 13: 1337.
10. Zhang ZG, Zhang L, Jiang Q, Chopp M. Bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells participate in cerebral neovascularization after focal cerebral ischemia in the adult mouse. Circ Res. 2002; 90: 284-288.
11. Chen J, Zhang ZG, Li Y, Wang Y, Wang L, et al. Statins induce angiogenesis, neurogenesis, and synaptogenesis after stroke. Ann Neurol. 2003; 53: 743-751.
12. Rivkin MJ, deVeber G, Ichord RN, Kirton A, Chan AK, et al. Thrombolysis in pediatric stroke study. Stroke. 2015;46(3):880-885.
13. Semple BD, Blomgren K, Gimlin K, Ferriero DM, Noble-Haeusslein LJ. Brain development in rodents and humans: identifying benchmarks of maturation and vulnerability to injury across species. Prog Neurobiol. 2013; 106: 1-16.
14. Ma DK, Jang MH, Guo JU, Kitabatake Y, Chang ML, et al. Neuronal activity-induced Gadd45b promotes epigenetic DNA demethylation and adult neurogenesis. Science. 2009; 323: 1074-1077.
15. Norman SL, Wolpaw JR, Reinkensmeyer DJ. Targeting neuroplasticity to improve motor recovery after stroke: an artificial neural network model. Brain Commun. 2022; 4: 264.
16. Guo JU, Ma DK, Mo H, Ball MP, Jang MH, et al. Neuronal activity modifies the DNA methylation landscape in the adult brain. Nat Neurosci. 2011; 14: 1345-1351.
17. Jickling GC, Sharp FR. Biomarkers of ischemic stroke. Neurotherapeutics. 2011; 8: 349-360.
18. Ovbiagele B, Creutzfeldt CJ. Racial disparities in stroke: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and therapeutic implications. Stroke. 2020; 51: 3554-3565.
19. Warraich Z, Kleim JA. Neural plasticity: the biological substrate for neurorehabilitation. PMR. 2010; 2: 208-219.
20. Ho WM, Reis C, Akyol O, et al. Genetic and biological determinants of race-ethnic disparities in stroke in the United States. Stroke. 2020; 51: 3696-3705.
21. Vannucci S, Hurn P. Gender differences in pediatric stroke: is elevated testosterone a risk factor for boys. Ann Neurol. 2009; 66: 713-714.
22. Wieloch T, Nikolich K. Mechanisms of neural plasticity following brain injury. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2006; 16: 258-264.
23. Engel M, Do-Ha D, Münch G, Martins RN. Recent advances in central nervous system plasticity. F1000Res. 2019; 8: 1371.

24. Pin-Barre C, Laurin J. Physical exercise as a diagnostic, rehabilitation, and preventive tool: influence on neuroplasticity and motor recovery after stroke. Neural Plast. 2015; 2015: 608581.

25. Jiang Y, Wei N, Lu T, Zhu J, Xu G, et al. Intranasal brain-derived neurotrophic factor protects brain from ischemic insult via modulating local cerebral blood flow. Neuroscience. 2011; 172: 398-405.

26. Steinberg A, Augustine E, Huynh S, et al. Emerging pharmacological opportunities to enhance stroke recovery. Stroke. 2020; 51: 1113-1123.