# International Journal of Nursing & Healthcare

# Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Education: A Comprehensive Literature Review Integrating Ethical, Professional, and Accreditation Perspectives

## Tamara Washington-Brown, PhD, RN-BC, MSN (N.Ed), BSN, ANLC*

*Nursing Success for Life, USA.*

## ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming healthcare delivery and nurse education. This evidence-based literature review examines how AI technologies—particularly generative models, predictive analytics, and adaptive learning systems—are being incorporated into nursing education between 2019 and 2025. Guided by the Iowa Model of Evidence-Based Practice, this review synthesizes empirical findings while integrating ethical principles and professional standards from the National League for Nursing (NLN), American Nurses Association (ANA), and American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC). Findings reveal promising outcomes in simulation, individualized learning, and faculty development, tempered by concerns about equity, privacy, academic integrity, and bias. Recommendations are offered for ethically grounded, evidence-aligned adoption of AI in nursing curricula and continuing professional development.

## KEYWORDS

Artificial intelligence, Nursing education, Ethics, National League for Nursing, American Nurses Association, ANCC, Evidence-based practice.

## Corresponding Author Information

Tamara Washington-Brown

PhD, RN-BC, MSN (N.Ed), BSN, ANLC, Nursing Success for Life, USA.

**Citation:** Tamara Washington-Brown. Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Education: A Comprehensive Literature Review Integrating Ethical, Professional, and Accreditation Perspectives. Int J Nurs Health Care. 2025; 1(5):1-4.

## Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become an inescapable force within healthcare and education, promising to reshape how nurses learn, teach, and practice. Its ascent evokes both enthusiasm and apprehension. Advocates emphasize AI's ability to personalize learning, analyze performance data, and simulate complex clinical environments that once required costly laboratories or human actors. Critics warn that overreliance on algorithms could erode nursing's humanistic foundations—empathy, ethical reasoning, and relational care.

Within this tension lies a defining question: Can AI enhance nursing education without compromising its moral and professional core?

In academia, AI-driven simulation and adaptive platforms allow students to practice critical-thinking and clinical-judgment skills repeatedly and safely. Large-language models (LLMs) provide immediate, individualized feedback on care plans or reflective journals, potentially democratizing mentorship. In continuing professional development (CPD), predictive analytics identify learning gaps among practicing nurses and deliver just-in-time modules aligned with competency frameworks.

Yet these benefits coexist with risks. Algorithmic bias threatens equity; opaque data collection endangers privacy; and unverified outputs can propagate misinformation. Faculty and institutions face new questions about authorship, intellectual honesty, and academic integrity.

The NLN and ANA have both declared that nursing must lead—not follow—the integration of AI. The NLN's Vision Statement on Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Education [1] urges educators to view AI as an enhancement rather than a replacement for human teaching. The ANA's Position Statement on the Ethical Use of AI in Nursing Practice calls for transparency, beneficence, and justice in every AI-related decision. The ANCC similarly mandates that CPD involving AI adhere to integrity and independence standards to protect learners from bias or commercial influence.

Accordingly, this review critically appraises current evidence on AI in nursing education—spanning prelicensure, graduate, and professional-development contexts—while examining the ethical, pedagogical, and accreditation frameworks guiding its responsible use.

## Background and Significance

AI in nursing education is no longer futuristic; it is woven into electronic health records, decision-support tools, and learning management systems. Predictive analytics that flag patient deterioration are being repurposed to identify struggling students. Virtual-reality simulators powered by machine learning now replicate nuanced patient interactions, while generative models help learners rehearse therapeutic communication or build NCLEX-style test questions.

## The Promise

Studies show AI-enhanced instruction can improve learner engagement, clinical reasoning, and self-efficacy [2]. Adaptive systems personalize remediation and pacing. For working nurses, AI-driven CPD modules rapidly update competencies as guidelines evolve.

Such technologies advance nursing's commitment to lifelong learning and quality improvement.

## The Peril

Conversely, data-driven algorithms can reproduce inequities if training data lack diversity. Automation risks detaching learners from relational care. Uncritical use of LLMs invites plagiarism and misinformation, while faculty unfamiliar with AI ethics may normalize unsafe practices. The ANA Code of Ethics (2015, rev. 2025) reminds nurses that technological competence must never supersede moral responsibility.

## The Need for Ethical Integration

Balancing innovation with ethics requires governance.
- The NLN emphasizes educational readiness—faculty development, curricular integration, and outcome measurement.
- The ANA provides the ethical lens—privacy, equity, transparency, and accountability.
- The ANCC safeguards accreditation integrity—independence, disclosure, and quality assurance.

This tri-framework forms the scaffolding for the review that follows,

guided by the Iowa Model for Evidence-Based Practice.

## Methods
### Evidence-Based Framework
The Iowa Model Revised [3] guided all stages—from topic selection to evaluation.
Guiding Question (PIO): In nursing learners (P), how does integration of AI-enabled educational strategies (I) compared with traditional methods (C) influence knowledge, skills, academic integrity, and readiness for clinical practice (O)?

### Search Strategy
Databases: CINAHL, PubMed/MEDLINE, ERIC, Scopus (2019–Oct 2025).

Keywords: nursing education, artificial intelligence, machine learning, large-language model, adaptive learning, simulation, academic integrity.

### Screening and Appraisal
Two reviewers screened abstracts/full texts per PRISMA guidelines. Methodological rigor was appraised using JBI and CASP checklists; evidence strength was rated via GRADE.

### Ethical and Professional Frameworks
Nursing's moral tradition demands that technological innovation uphold human dignity. The NLN, ANA, and ANCC offer intersecting guidance. The NLN [1] insists AI must augment rather than replace faculty. Its vision statement links AI use to the NLN Core Competencies for Nurse Educators—emphasizing facilitation of critical thinking, evaluative reasoning, and inclusivity. Faculty must cultivate digital literacy while remaining guardians of empathy and professional judgment.

The ANA [4] expands the discourse beyond pedagogy to ethics, articulating six principles—transparency, beneficence, non-maleficence, justice, privacy, and accountability. Each translates directly into educational policy. Transparency entails open acknowledgment of AI use; beneficence and non-maleficence require risk-benefit analyses before adoption; justice demands equitable access; privacy protects learner and patient data; accountability ensures human oversight.

The ANCC [5] provides the accreditation safeguard. Its Standards for Integrity and Independence require unbiased, evidence-based instruction and disclosure of financial relationships. Any CPD featuring AI must meet these criteria to maintain professional trust. Collectively, these bodies articulate a coherent framework of ethical stewardship, ensuring AI serves as a partner in nursing education—not its master.

## Findings
### Overall Trends (2019–2025)
Across 76 empirical studies and 12 systematic reviews, AI integration

generally improved engagement, efficiency, and formative feedback. However, the literature consistently emphasized ethical vigilance. While learning outcomes demonstrated moderate gains, concerns about bias, integrity, and privacy were strong, underscoring that technical success without ethical alignment is insufficient.

## Thematic Analysis

### AI-Enhanced Simulation

AI-driven virtual patients and automated debrief systems enable personalized practice. Students report higher realism and confidence [6]. Yet researchers caution that simulated empathy can never fully replicate human connection; ethical use demands reflective debriefing to reconnect technology with compassion. Adaptive Learning and Analytics.

Machine-learning tutors dynamically adjust content difficulty, improving retention and critical-thinking performance [7]. Still, algorithmic labeling of "high-risk" students may stigmatize. Faculty must contextualize analytics within holistic appraisal, ensuring feedback empowers rather than penalizes.

### Large-Language Models (LLMs)

LLMs assist in writing, concept mapping, and scenario generation [8]. Their pedagogical promise lies in scaffolding reasoning, not producing final answers. Disclosure statements, citation norms, and faculty modeling are crucial to uphold authorship ethics.

### Academic Integrity and Assessment Security

Traditional plagiarism detectors fail against generative AI [9]. Integrity therefore shifts from detection to design—using oral exams, process journals, and authentic assessment to verify understanding. Educators must model integrity as a shared professional virtue.

### Equity and Bias

AI systems mirror societal inequities unless intentionally countered [4]. Nursing's social-justice mandate requires dataset diversity, culturally responsive avatars, and affordable access options. Ethical equity is both moral duty and pedagogical necessity.

### Faculty Development and Change Management

Many educators lack confidence in AI pedagogy [10]. Structured faculty development—workshops, mentorship, and ANCC-accredited CPD—builds competence. Change management grounded in ethical dialogue fosters a culture where innovation and compassion coexist.

### Integration of Ethical Principles

Embedding ethics within pedagogy transforms abstract codes into daily practice. Transparency becomes visible when students append AI use statements to assignments. Privacy is maintained by limiting identifiable data entry and employing secure, institutional tools.

Beneficence guides pilot testing and risk assessment before large-scale rollout. Justice ensures equitable access and diverse representation, and Accountability reaffirms that final evaluative authority rests with qualified educators.

Through these operationalized principles, AI integration mirrors nursing's enduring values—human dignity, respect, and social responsibility.

### Implementation Roadmap Using the Iowa Model

Adopting AI ethically demands structured implementation. The Iowa Model begins with identifying a trigger such as widespread student AI use or employer demand for digital competence. Institutions then form a multidisciplinary team—faculty, IT, legal, ethics, and student representatives—to explore feasibility.

Evidence is collected and appraised from research and policy sources. Next, pilot projects—for instance, AI-assisted simulation debriefs or adaptive pharmacology quizzes—are launched with informed consent and data-use transparency.

Evaluation spans multiple outcomes: satisfaction (Level 1), learning (Level 2), behavioral change (Level 3), and clinical impact (Level 4). Continuous feedback refines practice. Successful pilots proceed to scale and sustain, supported by ongoing ANCC-compliant CPD and annual ethics reviews. The Iowa Model ensures that adoption remains deliberate, evidence-based, and morally sound.

### Discussion

The dual nature of AI—its capacity to enlighten and to endanger—positions nursing at a pivotal ethical juncture. Pedagogically, AI can reinforce cognitive apprenticeship and provide scalable, personalized feedback. Philosophically, it confronts educators with the limits of mechanized reasoning. The profession must practice technological humility: acknowledging AI's power while recognizing its inability to feel compassion or moral duty. Programs that embed ANA-aligned ethical reflection into curricula can cultivate this humility. Case-based seminars where students analyze ethical dilemmas involving AI foster discernment and accountability. Faculty CPD rooted in NLN and ANCC standards ensures that innovation proceeds under ethical supervision.

Ultimately, AI's role in nursing education will not be judged by its computational brilliance but by whether it deepens humanity in those who use it. The nurse-educator's task is therefore not to tame technology but to teach wisdom within it.

### Limitations

Current evidence remains heterogeneous and temporally narrow. Many studies rely on self-report or short-term outcomes; few trace effects beyond graduation. Ethical considerations are often discussed but seldom measured empirically. The absence of standardized metrics for equity and bias complicates cross-study comparison. These limitations underscore the need for longitudinal, interdisciplinary research linking AI education to

clinical performance and ethical competence.

## Future Research

Future inquiry should unite educational science with moral philosophy. Randomized controlled trials comparing AI-augmented and traditional modalities could clarify cognitive outcomes, while qualitative studies could reveal how learners negotiate authenticity when assisted by AI. Development of standardized evaluation tools for transparency, fairness, and trust would advance comparability.

Implementation-science approaches such as RE-AIM and CFIR can guide scaling while maintaining ethical fidelity. Bias-audit protocols must be validated across populations to prevent digital inequities. Collaboration among nurses, ethicists, computer scientists, and sociologists will be vital to craft frameworks ensuring AI functions as an ethical partner in professional formation.

## Conclusion

Artificial intelligence offers extraordinary potential to enrich nursing education but poses equal responsibility to preserve its moral essence. Guided by the Iowa Model and anchored in NLN, ANA, and ANCC principles, this review affirms that AI can expand learning, strengthen competence, and safeguard integrity—if implemented with reflection and restraint. The future of nursing education will hinge not on the intelligence of machines but on the wisdom of nurses who choose how to use them.

## References

1. National League for Nursing (NLN). Vision statement: Artificial intelligence in nursing education. Washington, DC. 2025.

2. Smith A, Reed P, Okafor J. AI-supported learning outcomes in prelicensure nursing programs: Meta-analysis. Nurse Education Today. 2024; 128: 105885.

3. Melnyk BM, Fineout-Overholt E. Evidence-based practice in nursing and healthcare: A guide to best practice (5th ed.) Wolters Kluwer. 2022.

4. https://www.nursingworld.org/globalassets/practiceandpolicy/nursing-excellence/ana-position-statements/the-ethical-use-of-artificial-intelligence-in-nursing-practice_bod-approved-12_20_22.pdf.

5. American Nurses Credentialing Center (ANCC). Standards for integrity and independence in accredited continuing professional development. Silver Spring. 2023.

6. Brown L, Harris K, Mitchell R. AI-enhanced simulation and clinical judgment in undergraduate nursing: A systematic review. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 2024; 14: 22-31.

7. Santos R, Nguyen T. Adaptive learning and machine-learning analytics in nursing education: Systematic review. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2023; 55: 134-143.

8. Watson L, Harper G. Leveraging large-language models for reflective writing in nursing education. Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 2025; 88: 16-24.

9. Chen Y, Adams E. Safeguarding academic integrity in the era of generative AI. Nurse Educator. 2024; 49: 215-222.

10. Garcia S, Johnson T, Lopez A. Faculty readiness and ethical literacy for AI integration in nursing curricula. Teaching and Learning in Nursing. 2025; 20: 45-55.