Global Journal of Translational Medicine

Research Article

AI-Involved Medical Malpractice

Prof. Dr. Fenella Chadwick*

Harvard University, Department of Public Health, Massachusetts Hall, Cambridge, United States.

ABSTRACT

The increasing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) tools in clinical decision-making presents a profound challenge to established legal doctrines of medical malpractice. Current liability frameworks are often inadequate to clearly and equitably assign responsibility when patient injury results from an AI-involved error.

Traditional medical negligence focuses on the physician's deviation from the standard of care. However, the complexity of AI including the "black box" problem of opaque decision-making, the multiplicity of stakeholders (developers, healthcare institutions, and clinicians), and the dynamic, adaptive nature of ML systems fragments responsibility. Potential legal pathways for compensation for patient harm are generally distributed across three main theories:

1. Medical Malpractice/Negligence: Primarily targeting the physician or healthcare provider for negligent use, failure to critically evaluate AI recommendations, or improper implementation.
2. Product Liability: Directed at the AI developer or manufacturer for errors stemming from design defects, manufacturing flaws, or a failure to provide adequate warnings.
3. Vicarious Liability: Holding the healthcare system or hospital accountable for the negligent acts of its employees or affiliates, or for organizational negligence like failing to provide proper training or oversight.

Policy options for a more balanced and forward-looking system include modifying the standard of care to encompass responsible AI use, implementing specialized no-fault adjudication systems, and creating harmonized regulatory frameworks to enhance transparency and accountability across the entire AI supply chain. Without clear definitions of professional responsibilities and legal liability, the fear of accountability may hinder the safe and beneficial adoption of these transformative technologies.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Medical Malpractice, Liability, Responsibility, Standard of Care, Product Liability, Vicarious Liability, Healthcare, Negligence, Black Box, Accountability.

Corresponding Author Information

Prof. Dr. Fenella Chadwick
Harvard University, Department of Public Health, Massachusetts Hall, Cambridge, United States.

Received: November 01, 2025; **Accepted:** November 29, 2025; **Published:** December 07, 2025

Citation: Prof. Dr. Fenella Chadwick. AI-Involved Medical Malpractice. Trends Global J Transl Med. 2025;1(1):1-5.

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into modern healthcare promises a revolutionary era, offering unprecedented potential for enhanced diagnostic accuracy, personalized treatment plans, and improved clinical efficiency. From deep learning algorithms analyzing radiological images to predictive models forecasting patient deterioration, AI's role is rapidly shifting from a supplementary tool to an integral part of the clinical workflow. However, this profound technological shift introduces a fundamental disruption to the established principles of medical malpractice law. The core question that threatens to slow the beneficial adoption of these tools is: Who is liable when a patient is harmed by an AI-involved error? Current legal and regulatory frameworks, designed for human-centric medical practice, are proving inadequate to definitively assign responsibility across the complex AI ecosystem, necessitating a critical and urgent discussion on new legal paradigms [1-32].

The Crisis of the Standard of Care and Causation

The foundation of a successful medical malpractice claim rests on proving negligence, which requires demonstrating four elements: a duty of care, a breach of the standard of care, causation between the breach and the injury, and resulting damages. AI systems challenge the clarity of the first three elements, particularly the definition of acceptable professional conduct and the traceability of error.

The Erosion of the Standard of Care

The standard of care traditionally relies on the professional consensus of a reasonable body of peers. As AI tools become widely validated and adopted, two competing scenarios create ambiguity:

AI as the New Standard: If an AI tool becomes the established best practice (e.g., in a narrow, high-volume field like radiology), a physician who *fails* to use it, resulting in a misdiagnosis, could be deemed negligent.

Over-reliance and Automation Bias: Conversely, a physician who blindly follows a faulty AI recommendation, abandoning their clinical judgment without critical evaluation, could also be held liable for falling below the standard of a competent practitioner. Physicians' legal concerns around this "automation bias" incentivize them to use AI primarily as confirmatory advice, hindering its potential for disruptive, non-confirming diagnoses. This tension highlights the urgent need to define the appropriate level of human oversight required when utilizing AI systems [33-48].

The 'Black Box' Problem and Proving Causation

The second, and perhaps most significant, obstacle is the difficulty in establishing causation. Advanced ML models, particularly deep learning networks, often function as "black boxes," where the complex, opaque processes leading to a specific output (Incorrect AI Recommendation) are inexplicable, even to their developers. In a lawsuit, the plaintiff must prove that the defendant's error (whether it's the physician's misuse, the institution's poor deployment, or the developer's flawed design) directly caused the patient's injury. When the error is buried within the algorithm's complex layers or biased training data, traditional tort law mechanisms for tracing fault break down. The lack of explainability (XAI) not only undermines patient and physician trust but also creates a legal gap that parties may exploit to evade liability [49-60].

Discussion: Shifting Liability from Clinician to Ecosystem

The legal discussion has pivoted from solely focusing on the treating physician to examining a model of distributed responsibility that covers the multi-stakeholder AI ecosystem. This shift recognizes that an AI-involved error can originate from multiple points: the design phase, the data training phase, or the deployment phase.

Introducing Product Liability for Developers

Given the hurdles of medical malpractice against the clinician, the doctrine of Product Liability is a natural alternative for addressing AI-related harm. Since medical AI is increasingly regulated as a medical device, its developer or manufacturer could be held strictly liable for injuries caused by a design defect, a manufacturing defect (e.g., poor coding or insufficient data cleansing), or a failure to warn. This strict liability approach where fault does not need to be proven offers a more direct path to patient compensation [61-72].

However, even product liability faces difficulties:

- **Dynamic Nature:** Unlike traditional products, AI systems that continuously learn and adapt (**Machine Learning**) may evolve beyond the manufacturer's original specification and testing, blurring the definition of the "product" itself and whether the error was due to the initial design or subsequent autonomous learning.
- **Fragmentation of Responsibility:** The development process involves a fragmented network of actors (data providers, trainers, integrators), making it difficult to pinpoint the specific legal entity responsible for the "defective" component.

The Institutional Role and Regulatory Imperatives

Healthcare institutions (hospitals and health systems) face growing vicarious liability for their employed staff and potential claims of organizational negligence. Their responsibility extends to the safe and responsible implementation of AI, including ensuring adequate staff training in AI utilization, vetting algorithms for data bias, and establishing proper maintenance protocols. Policymakers must therefore create a clear legal framework that balances the goals of patient safety, access to innovation, and fair allocation of risk. Urgent regulatory updates, like those proposed in Europe (e.g., the AI Act and related liability directives), are essential to provide clarity on compliance, enhance transparency requirements, and modernize negligence and product liability rules to account for the unique challenges of algorithmic causation and opacity [73-83].

Challenges

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into medical practice presents significant challenges to existing liability frameworks, particularly medical malpractice and product liability law. These challenges stem from the unique technical characteristics of AI and the complex multi-stakeholder ecosystem of AI development and deployment in healthcare.

The main challenges are:

Opacity and Causation (The "Black Box" Problem)

A core challenge is proving **causation** and **fault** due to the technical nature of many AI systems.

- **Lack of Transparency (Opacity):** Many sophisticated AI/ Machine Learning models, especially deep learning networks, are **"black boxes"**. It's difficult, and sometimes impossible, for humans to fully understand the internal logical process that led the AI to a specific recommendation or decision. This makes it excessively difficult for a patient (the plaintiff) to determine *what* went wrong, *why* it went wrong, or **prove a fault** (e.g., in the design, training data, or software code).

- **Proving Causation:** To win a medical malpractice or negligence case, a patient must prove the professional's (or product's) fault **caused** their injury. In an AI context, it's hard to definitively show that the AI's flawed output, rather than the patient's underlying condition or a human professional's subsequent decision, was the **direct cause** of the harm.
- **Difficulty Proving a "Defect":** For product liability claims, proving a **product defect** is challenging when the "product" is intangible software or an algorithm. This is compounded by the "black box" issue, making it hard to show a safer alternative design was feasible.

Defining the Responsible Party

Traditional liability models often struggle to assign responsibility within the complex AI supply chain.

- **Diffusion of Responsibility:** The AI ecosystem involves numerous actors: **data providers**, **algorithm developers/manufacturers**, **healthcare organizations** (hospitals, clinics), and **end-user clinicians** (physicians, nurses). When an error occurs, these parties can easily point to one another, making it a "blame game."
- **Stretching Medical Malpractice:** Medical malpractice holds the healthcare professional (HCP) liable for falling below the professional **standard of care**.
    - **Following the AI:** If the HCP blindly follows a flawed AI recommendation, they could be liable for **failing to critically evaluate** it.
    - **Ignoring the AI:** If the AI's recommendation becomes the **standard of care**, an HCP who ignores it and causes harm could also be held liable for deviation from that standard.
    - **Implementing the AI:** Hospitals may face liability for **negligently credentialing** or implementing a known faulty or poorly tested AI system.
- **Stretching Product Liability:** Product liability law is typically for tangible products with a fixed design. Applying it to dynamic AI is difficult:
    - **Software is not a 'Product':** Courts have been reluctant to apply product liability to intangible software alone.
    - **Dynamic Learning:** If an AI system is **adaptive** (changes after being placed on the market), its ultimate error may not be attributable to a "defect" in the version the manufacturer initially sold. The error could be due to post-sale learning from new, potentially biased, data input by the user.

AI Bias and Standard of Care

AI-specific issues complicate the legal concept of a "standard of care."

- **Algorithmic Bias:** If the AI was trained on data that is **unrepresentative** (e.g., lacks diverse demographic groups), it can produce systematic errors or biased outcomes for certain patient populations. If this bias leads to harm, it raises questions about the **manufacturer's fault** in selecting or curating the training data.
- **Evolving Standard of Care:** As AI tools become more common and effective, they will begin to define what constitutes the professional standard of care. This creates a legal uncertainty where the standard is constantly and rapidly evolving based on technological updates and performance metrics.

Conclusion

In essence, the conclusion is that the future of AI in medicine requires a legal system that moves away from simply penalizing human error and toward a structure that incentivizes the safe, transparent, and equitable design of the technology itself.

References

1. Panahi O, Azarfardin A. Computer-Aided Implant Planning: Utilizing AI for Precise Placement and Predictable Outcomes. Journal of Dentistry and Oral Health. 2025; 2: 1-5.
2. Panahi O. AI in Health Policy: Navigating Implementation and Ethical Considerations. Int J Health Policy Plann. 2025; 4: 1-5.
3. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF, Jabbarzadeh M. Stomatologia cyfrowa i sztuczna inteligencja. ISBN: 978-620-8-73914-0.
4. Panahi O. Innovative Biomaterials for Sustainable Medical Implants: A Circular Economy Approach. European Journal of Innovative Studies and Sustainability. 2025; 1: 1-5.
5. Panahi O. Bridging the Gap: AI-Driven Solutions for Dental Tissue Regeneration. Austin J Dent. 2024; 11: 1185.
6. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF, Jabbarzadeh M. Dentisterie numérique et intelligence artificielle. ISBN: 978-620-8-73912-6.
7. Panahi O, Zeinalddin M. The Convergence of Precision Medicine and Dentistry: An AI and Robotics Perspective. Austin J Dent. 2024; 11: 1186.
8. Omid P, Mohammad Z. The Remote Monitoring Toothbrush for Early Cavity Detection using Artificial Intelligence (AI). IJDSIR. 2024; 7: 173-178.
9. Omid P. Modern Sinus Lift Techniques: Aided by AI. Glob J Oto. 2024; 26: 556198.
10. Panahi O. The Rising Tide: Artificial Intelligence Reshaping Healthcare Management. S J Publc Hlth. 2024; 1: 1-3.
11. Panahi P. Multipath Local Error Management Technique Over Ad Hoc Networks. Eeexplore. 2008: 187-194.
12. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF, Jabbarzadeh M. Digitale Zahnmedizin und künstliche Intelligenz. ISBN: 978-620-8-73910-2.
13. Panahi U. AD HOC Networks: Applications, Challenges, Future Directions. Scholars' Press. 2025.
14. Panahi U. AD HOC-Netze: Anwendungen, Herausforderungen, zukünftige Wege. ISBN: 978-620-8-72963-9.
15. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF, Jabbarzadeh M. Odontología digital e inteligencia artificial. ISBN: 978-620-8-73911-9.
16. Koyuncu B, Gokce A, Panahi P. The use of the Unity game

engine in archaeological site reconstruction. SOMA 2015. 2015; 95-103.
17. Koyuncu B, Meral E, Panahi P. Real-time geolocation tracking using GPS+GPRS and SIM908. IIJECS. 2015; 4: 148-150.
18. Koyuncu B, Uğur B, Panahi P. Indoor location determination by using RFIDs. IJMAN. 2013; 3: 7-11.
19. Uras Panahi. Redes AD HOC: Aplicações, Desafios, Direcções Futuras. 2025.
20. Panahi P, Bayılmış C, Çavuşoğlu U, Kaçar S. Performance evaluation of lightweight encryption algorithms for IoT-based applications. Arabian J Sci Eng. 2021; 46: 4015-4037.
21. Panahi U, Bayılmış C. Enabling secure data transmission for wireless sensor networks based IoT applications. Ain Shams Eng J. 2023; 14: 101866.
22. Panahi O, Panahi U. AI-Powered IoT in Oral Implantology. J Adv Artif Intell Mach Learn. 2025; 1: 1-4.
23. Panahi P, Dehghan M. Multipath Video Transmission Over Ad Hoc Networks Using Layer Coding and Video Caches. In ICEE2008, 16th Iranian Conference On Electrical Engineering. 2008; 50-55.
24. Panahi DU. HOC A Networks: Applications, Challenges, Future Directions. Scholars Press. 2025.
25. Panahi O, Esmaili F, Kargarnezhad S. Artificial Intelligence in Dentistry. Scholars Press. 2024.
26. Omid P. Relevance between gingival hyperplasia and leukemia. Int J Acad Res. 2011; 3: 493-549.
27. Panahi O. Secure IoT for Healthcare. Eur J Innov Studies Sustainability. 2025; 1: 1-5.
28. Panahi O. Deep Learning in Diagnostics. J Med Discoveries. 2025; 2.
29. Omid P. Artificial Intelligence in Oral Implantology. Adv Dent Oral Health. 2024; 17: 555966.
30. Panahi O. Teledentistry: Expanding Access to Oral Healthcare. J Dent Sci Res Rev Rep. 2024.
31. Omid P. Empowering Dental Public Health. JOJ Public Health. 2024; 9: 555754.
32. Thamson K, Panahi O. AI as a Collaborative Tool. J Bio Adv Sci Res. 2025; 1: 1-8.
33. Panahi O. Algorithmic Medicine. J Med Discoveries. 2025; 2.
34. Panahi O. The Future of Healthcare: AI, Public Health and the Digital Revolution. MediClin Case Rep J. 2025; 3: 763-766.
35. Thamson K, Panahi O. Challenges and Opportunities for Implementing AI in Clinical Trials. J Bio Adv Sci Res. 2025; 1: 1-8.
36. Thamson K, Panahi O. Ethical Considerations and Future Directions of AI in Dental Healthcare. J Bio Adv Sci Res. 2025; 1: 1-7.
37. Thamson K, Panahi O. Bridging the Gap: AI, Data Science and Evidence-Based Dentistry. J Bio Adv Sci Res. 2025; 1: 1-13.
38. Gholizadeh M, Panahi O. Research system in health management information systems. Sciencia Scripts Publishing. 2021.
39. Panahi O, Esmaili F, Kargarnezhad S. L'intelligence artificielle dans l'odontologie. SAVOIR Publishing. 2024.
40. Panahi DO, Esmaili DF, Kargarnezhad DS. Искусственный интеллект в стоматологии. SCIENCIA SCRIPTS Publishing. 2024.
41. Panahi O, Panahi U. AI-Powered IoT: Transforming Diagnostics and Treatment Planning in Oral Implantology. J Adv Artif Intell Mach Learn. 2025; 1: 1-4.
42. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF. Periodontium: Structure, Function and Clinical Management.
43. Panahi O, Ezzati A. AI in Dental-Medicine: Current Applications & Future Directions. Open Access J Clin Images. 2025; 2: 1-5.
44. Panahi O, Dadkhah S. Mitigating aflatoxin contamination in grains. Adv Biotechnol Microbiol. 2025; 18.
45. Panahi O. Dental Public Health: Leveragin g Artificial Intelligence for Improved Oral Healthcare Access and Outcomes. JOJ Public Health. 2024.
46. Omid P, Fatmanur KC. Nano Technology. Regenerative Medicine & Tissue Bio-Engineering. 2023.
47. Panahi O, Gholizadeh M. Система исследований. Sciencia Scripts. 2021.
48. Panahi O, Panahi U. AI-Powered IoT: Transforming Diagnostics and Treatment Planning in Oral Implantology. J Adv Artif Intell Mach Learn. 2025; 1: 1-4.
49. Zeynali M, Panahi O, Ezzati D. Will AI Replace Your Dentist. On J Dent Oral Health. 2025; 8.
50. Panahi O. Artificial Intelligence: A New Frontier in Periodontology. Mod Res Dent. 2024; 8: 800-802.
51. Panahi O, Dadkhah S. AI in der modernen Zahnmedizin. Zahnmedizin. 2025; 48.
52. Panahi U. Redes AD HOC: Aplicações, Desafios, Direcções Futuras. Edições Nosso Conhecimento. 2025.
53. Panahi U. AD HOC networks: Applications. Challenges, Future Paths. Our Knowledge. 2025.
54. Panahı U. Nesnelerin interneti için hafif siklet kriptoloji algoritmalarına dayalı güvenli haberleşme modeli tasarımı Design of a lightweight cryptography-based secure communication model for the Internet of Things. Sakarya Üniversitesi. 2022.
55. Koyuncu B, Panahi P. Kalman filtering of link quality indicator values for position detection by using WSNS. International Journal of Computing, Communications & Instrumentation Engineering. 2014; 1.
56. Koyuncu B, Gökçe A, Panahi P. Unity engine for archaeological site. SOMA 2015. 2015.
57. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF. Peridonio: Struttura, funzione e gestione clinica. ISBN:978-620-8-74559-2.
58. Panahi O, Dadkhah S. AI in der modernen Zahnmedizin. ISBN:978-620-8-74877-7.

59. Panahi O. Cellules souches de la pulpe dentaire. ISBN:978-620-4-05358-5.

60. Panahi O, Esmaili F, Kargarnezhad S. Искусственный интеллект в стоматологии. 2024.

61. Panahi O, Melody FR. A Novel Scheme in Orthodontic Extraction. Int J Acad Res. 2011; 3.

62. Panahi O. Surgeons and Robots in Maxillofacial Surgery. J Dent Sci Oral Care. 2025; 1: 1-7.

63. Panahi O, Dadkhah S. Sztuczna inteligencja w stomatologii. ISBN:978-620-8-74884-5.

64. Panahi O. Future of Medicine. J Bio-Med Clin Res. 2025; 2.

65. Panahi O, Raouf MF. Pregnancy and Periodontal Therapy. Int J Acad Res. 2011; 3: 1057-1058.

66. Panahi O, Nunag GM. Molecular Pathology: P-115: Correlation of Helicobacter Pylori and Prevalent Infections in Oral Cavity. Cell Journal. 2011; 12: 91-92.

67. Panahi O. Age of Longevity: Medical Advances and The Extension of Human Life. J Bio-Med Clin Res. 2025; 2.

68. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF. Peridoncio: Estructura, función y manejo clínico. ISBN:978-620-8-74557-8.

69. Panahi O, Farrokh S. Building Healthier Communities. Int J Nurs Health Care. 2025; 1: 1-4.

70. Panahi O. Стволовые клетки пульпы зуба. ISBN:978-620-4-05357-8.

71. Panahi O. Nanomedicine: Tiny Technologies, Big Impact on Health. J Bio-Med Clin Res. 2025; 2.

72. Panahi O, Amirloo A. Nanomedicine: Tiny Technologies, Big Impact on Health. On J Dent Oral Health. 2025; 8.

73. Panahi O. Comparison between unripe Makopa fruit extract on bleeding and clotting time. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2013; 23: 205.

74. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF. Peridontium: Struktura, funkcja I postępowanie kliniczne ISBN:978-620-8-74560-8.

75. Panahi O, Eslamlou SF. Artificial Intelligence in Oral Surgery: Enhancing Diagnostics, Treatment, and Patient Care. J Clin Den Oral Care. 2025; 3: 1-5.

76. Panahi O. Odontoiatria digitale e intelligenza artificiale. ISBN:978-620-8-73913-3.

77. Omid P, Soren F. The Digital Double: Data Privacy, Security, and Consent in AI Implants. Digit J Eng Sci Technol. 2025; 2: 105.

78. Panahi O. The Digital Double: Data Privacy, Security, and Consent in AI Implants. ISBN:978-620-8-73915-7.

79. Panahi O. Stammzellen aus dem Zahnmark. ISBN:978-620-4-05355-4.

80. Panahi O. AI-Enhanced Case Reports: Integrating Medical Imaging for Diagnostic Insights. J Case Rep Clin Images. 2025; 8: 1161.

81. Panahi O. AI-Enhanced Case Reports: Integrating Medical Imaging for Diagnostic Insights. Mathews J Nurs. 2025; 7: 5.

82. Panahi O. AI-Enhanced Case Reports: Integrating Medical Imaging for Diagnostic Insights. Int J Health Policy Plann. 2025; 4: 01-05.

83. Panahi O, Falkner S. Telemedicine, AI, and the Future of Public Health. West J Med Sci Res. 2025; 2: 10.